UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/625,100 | 07/22/2003 | Santiago Munne | | 8781 |
| Santiago Munno | 7590 06/24/200 e | 9 | EXAM | IINER |
| 55 Lakeview Avenue | | | TON, THAIAN N | |
| Shorthills, NJ 0 | 7078 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1632 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/24/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Nation of Aboundary | 10/625,100 | MUNNE, SANTIAGO | AGO |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thaian N. Ton | 1632 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the ϵ | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla | ces the |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply | y, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tra | ansmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | iired by, and within the three-month μ | period set in, the Not | ice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire in | iterest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity un | der 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seel | king court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| | /Thaian N. Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit | t 1632 | |
| Delitions to revise under 27 CFD 4 407/s) on (b) on use a set to 19 de- | the helding of should appear to the OZ | OFD 4 404 | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090621 Part of Paper No. 20090621